Numerical radius inequalities related to the geometric means of negative power The Fifteenth Workshop on Numerical Ranges and Numerical Radii (WONRA) 2019 Toyo University, Kawagoe Campus

Yuki Seo

Osaka Kyoiku University

June 21-24, 2019



2 Norm inequality for geometric means of negative power

3 Numerical radius inequality for geometric means

∃ ▶ ∢ ∃ ▶

Let $\mathbb{M}_n = \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices. For $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$, we write $A \ge 0$ if A is positive semidefinite and A > 0 if A is positive definite, that is, A is positive and invertible. For two Hermitian matrices A and B, we write $A \ge B$ if $A - B \ge 0$, and it is called the Löwner partial ordering. A norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{M}_n is said to be unitarily invariant if $\|UXV\| = \|X\|$ for all $X \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and unitary U, V. For any matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$, the numerical radius w(A) is defined by

$$w(A) = \sup\{|\langle Ax, x\rangle| : ||x|| = 1, x \in \mathbb{C}^n\}.$$

Then the numerical radius is unitarily similar: $w(U^*AU) = w(A)$ for all unitary U.

Geometric means $A^{1-\alpha}B^{\alpha}$, $A \sharp_{\alpha} B$ and $A \diamondsuit_{\alpha} B$

Let A and B be positive definite matrices. The $\alpha\text{-geometric}$ mean A \sharp_α B is defined by

$$A \sharp_{\alpha} B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\alpha} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \text{for all } \alpha \in [0,1].$$

The chaotic geometric mean $A \diamondsuit_{lpha} B$ is defined by

$$A \diamondsuit_{\alpha} B = e^{(1-\alpha) \log A + \alpha \log B}$$
 for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

If A and B commute, then $A \sharp_{\alpha} B = A \diamondsuit_{\alpha} B = A^{1-\alpha}B^{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. However, we have no relation among $A \sharp_{\alpha} B$, $A \diamondsuit_{\alpha} B$ and $A^{1-\alpha}B^{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ under the Löwner partial order.

Remark:

$$A \diamondsuit_{\alpha} B = \lim_{p \to 0} ((1 - \alpha)A^p + \alpha B^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Motivation

Specht ratio

Specht estimated the upper bound of the arithmeticmean by the geometric one for positive numbers: For $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in [m, M]$,

$$\sqrt[n]{x_1x_2\cdots x_n} \leq \frac{x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_n}{n} \leq S(h)\sqrt[n]{x_1x_2\cdots x_n}$$

where $h = \frac{M}{m}$ and the Specht ratio is defined by

$$S(h) = rac{(h-1)h^{rac{1}{h-1}}}{e\log h} \ (h
eq 1) \quad ext{and} \quad S(1) = 1$$

Motivation

Specht ratio

Specht estimated the upper bound of the arithmeticmean by the geometric one for positive numbers: For $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in [m, M]$,

$$\sqrt[n]{x_1x_2\cdots x_n} \leq \frac{x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_n}{n} \leq S(h)\sqrt[n]{x_1x_2\cdots x_n}$$

where $h = \frac{M}{m}$ and the Specht ratio is defined by

$$S(h) = rac{(h-1)h^{rac{1}{h-1}}}{e\log h} \ (h
eq 1) \quad ext{and} \quad S(1) = 1$$

$\overline{\text{Comparison between } A \sharp_{\alpha} B \text{ and } A \diamondsuit_{\alpha} B}$

Let A, B be positive definite matrices with $mI \leq A, B \leq MI$. Then

$$(h)^{-1}A \diamondsuit_{lpha} B \leq A \sharp_{lpha} B \leq S(h)A \diamondsuit_{lpha} B$$

Yuki Seo (Osaka Kyoiku University)

Norm inequality for geometric means

Let A, B be positive definite matrices. Then for every unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$

 $||A \sharp_{\alpha} B|| \leq ||A \diamondsuit_{\alpha} B|| \leq ||A^{1-\alpha}B^{\alpha}|| \quad \text{ for all } \alpha \in [0,1].$

Norm inequality for geometric means

Let A, B be positive definite matrices. Then for every unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$

$$||A \sharp_{\alpha} B||| \leq ||A \diamondsuit_{\alpha} B||| \leq ||A^{1-\alpha}B^{\alpha}||| \quad \text{ for all } \alpha \in [0,1].$$

We have the following numerical radius inequalities:

Numerical dadius inequality for geometric means

Let A, B be positive definite matrices. Then

$$w(A \sharp_{\alpha} B) \leq w(A \diamondsuit_{\alpha} B) \leq w(A^{1-\alpha}B^{\alpha}) \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in [0,1],$$

The purpose of this talk

We discuss numerical radius inequalities related to the geometric means. Though the numerical radius is not unitarily invariant norm, the numerical radius is unitarily similar. In this talk, we show numerical radius inequalities related to the geometric means of negative power for positive definite matrices.



2 Norm inequality for geometric means of negative power

3 Numerical radius inequality for geometric means

∃ ▶ ∢ ∃ ▶

The β -quasi geometric mean $A
arrow \beta$ is defined by

$$A \natural_{\beta} B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\beta} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for all } \beta \in [-1,0),$$

whose formula is the same as \sharp_{α} . The chaotic geometric mean $A \diamondsuit_{\beta} B$ is defined by

$$A \diamondsuit_{\beta} B = e^{(1-\beta)\log A + \beta \log B}$$
 for all $\beta \in [-1, 0)$.

The geometric mean is $A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta}$ for $\beta \in [-1, 0)$.

The β -quasi geometric mean $A
arrow \beta$ is defined by

$$A \natural_{\beta} B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\beta} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for all } \beta \in [-1,0),$$

whose formula is the same as \sharp_{α} . The chaotic geometric mean $A \diamondsuit_{\beta} B$ is defined by

$$A \diamondsuit_{\beta} B = e^{(1-\beta)\log A + \beta \log B}$$
 for all $\beta \in [-1, 0)$.

The geometric mean is $A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta}$ for $\beta \in [-1, 0)$.

 $||A \sharp_{\alpha} B|| \leq ||A \diamondsuit_{\alpha} B|| \leq ||A^{1-\alpha}B^{\alpha}|| \quad \text{ for all } \alpha \in [0,1].$

Ando-Hiai inequality

Let A, B be positive definite matrices. Then

$$|\!|\!| A \sharp_{\alpha} B |\!|\!| \leq |\!|\!| A \diamondsuit_{\alpha} B |\!|\!| \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in [0,1].$$

The log-majorization theorem due to Ando-Hiai: For each $\alpha \in (0,1]$

$$A^r \ \sharp_lpha \ B^r \prec_{(\log)} (A \ \sharp_lpha \ B)^r \qquad ext{for all } r \geq 1.$$

This implies

$$\left\| \left(A^p \sharp_{\alpha} B^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \leq \left\| \left(A^q \sharp_{\alpha} B^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\| \qquad \text{for } 0 < q < p.$$

Lie-Trotter formula is

$$A \diamondsuit_{\alpha} B = \lim_{q \to 0} (A^q \sharp_{\alpha} B^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
 for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$

Ando-Hiai log-majorization of negative power

Let A, B be positive definite matrices and $\beta \in [-1, 0)$. Then

$$A^r
arrow_eta \ B^r \prec_{(\mathsf{log})} (A
arrow_eta \ B)^r \qquad ext{for all } r \in (0,1]$$

or equivalently

$$(A^{q} \natural_{\beta} B^{q})^{\frac{1}{q}} \prec_{(\log)} (A^{p} \natural_{\beta} B^{p})^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{for all } 0 < q < p$$

(*)

By the antisymmetric tensor power technique, in order to prove (*), it suffices to show that

$$\lambda_1(A^r \mid_{\beta} B^r) \leq \lambda_1(A \mid_{\beta} B)^r \quad \text{ for all } 0 < r \leq 1.$$
 (1)

For this purpose we may prove that $A \not \models_{\beta} B \leq I$ implies $A^r \not \models_{\beta} B^r \leq I$, because both sides of (1) have the same order of homogeneity for A, B, so that we can multiply A, B by a positive constant. First let us assume $\frac{1}{2} \leq r \leq 1$ and write $r = 1 - \varepsilon$ with $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Let $C = A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{-1}A^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $B^{-1} = A^{-\frac{1}{2}}CA^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $A \not \models_{\beta} B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}C^{-\beta}A^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If $A \not \models_{\beta} B \leq I$, then $C^{-\beta} \leq A^{-1}$ so that $A \leq C^{\beta}$ and $A^{\varepsilon} \leq C^{\beta\varepsilon}$ for $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ by Löwner-Heinz inequality.

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Since $-\beta \in (0,1]$ and $1-\varepsilon \in [\frac{1}{2},1]$, we now get

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{A}^{r} \ \natural_{\beta} \ \mathsf{B}^{r} &= \mathsf{A}^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}} (\mathsf{A}^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}} \mathsf{B}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathsf{A}^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}})^{\beta} \mathsf{A}^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}} \\ &= \mathsf{A}^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}} (\mathsf{A}^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}} (\mathsf{B}^{-1})^{1-\varepsilon} \mathsf{A}^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}})^{-\beta} \mathsf{A}^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}} \\ &= \mathsf{A}^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}} (\mathsf{A}^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}} (\mathsf{A}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathsf{C} \mathsf{A}^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{1-\varepsilon} \mathsf{A}^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}})^{-\beta} \mathsf{A}^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}} \\ &= \mathsf{A}^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}} (\mathsf{A}^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} [\mathsf{A} \ \sharp_{1-\varepsilon} \ \mathsf{C}] \mathsf{A}^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}})^{-\beta} \mathsf{A}^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}} \\ &= \mathsf{A}^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} [\mathsf{A}^{\varepsilon} \ \sharp_{-\beta} \ (\mathsf{A} \ \sharp_{1-\varepsilon} \ \mathsf{C})] \mathsf{A}^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \\ &\leq \mathsf{A}^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} [\mathsf{C}^{\alpha\varepsilon} \ \sharp_{-\alpha} \ (\mathsf{C}^{\alpha} \ \sharp_{1-\varepsilon} \ \mathsf{C})] \mathsf{A}^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \end{split}$$

æ

∃ ▶ ∢ ∃ ▶

using the joint monotonicity of matrix geometric means. Since a direct computation yields

$$C^{etaarepsilon} \ \sharp_{-eta} \ (C^eta \ \sharp_{1-arepsilon} \ C) = C^{eta(2arepsilon-1)}$$

and by Löwner-Heinz inequality and $0 \le 1 - 2\varepsilon \le 1$, $C^{-\alpha} \le A^{-1}$ implies $C^{-\beta(1-2\varepsilon)} \le A^{-(1-2\varepsilon)}$ and thus we get

$$A^{r} \natural_{\beta} B^{r} \leq A^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} C^{\beta(2\varepsilon-1)} A^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \leq A^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} A^{-1+2\varepsilon} A^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} = I.$$

Therefore (1) is proved in the case of $\frac{1}{2} \le r \le 1$.

When $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$, writing $r = 2^{-k}(1 - \varepsilon)$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \le \varepsilon \le \frac{1}{2}$, and repeating the argument above we have

$$egin{aligned} \lambda_1(A^r & eta_eta \; B^r) &\leq \lambda_1(A^{2^{-(k-1)}(1-arepsilon)} & eta_eta \; B^{2^{-(k-1)}(1-arepsilon)})^rac{1}{2} \ &dots \ &\leq \lambda_1(A^{1-arepsilon} & eta_eta \; B^{1-arepsilon})^{2^{-k}} \ &\leq \lambda_1(A & eta_eta \; B)^r \end{aligned}$$

and so we have the Ando-Hiai log-majorization

 $A^r
arrow_{eta} B^r \prec_{(\mathsf{log})} (A
arrow_{eta} B)^r \qquad ext{for all } r \in (0,1]$

By the Ando-Hiai log-majorization of negative power,

$$\left\| \left(A^q \ \natural_{eta} \ B^q
ight)^{rac{1}{q}}
ight\| \leq \left\| \left(A^p \ \natural_{eta} \ B^p
ight)^{rac{1}{p}}
ight\| \qquad ext{for all } 0 < q < p$$

we have the following norm inequalities for geometric means of negative power:

Theorem 1

Let A and B be positive definite matrices. Then for every unitarily invariant norm

$$\|A \diamondsuit_{eta} B\| \le \|A \natural_{eta} B\|$$
 for all $eta \in [-1,0).$

$$|\!|\!|A \natural_\beta B|\!|\!|\!| \le \left|\!|\!|\!|A^{1-\beta}B^\beta\right|\!|\!|\!| \qquad \text{for all } \beta \in [-1,-\tfrac{1}{2}]$$

Proof of Theorem 1

By the Ando-Hiai log-majorization of negative power, it follows that

$$\left\| \left(A^q \natural_\beta B^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\| \leq \left\| \left(A^p \natural_\beta B^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \qquad \text{for all } 0 < q < p$$

and as $q \rightarrow 0$ and p = 1 we have the desired inequality by the Lie-Trotter formula:

$$A \diamondsuit_{\beta} B = \lim_{q \to 0} (A^q \sharp_{\beta} B^q)^{rac{1}{q}} \quad \text{for } \beta \in [-1,0)$$

and thus

$$|\!|\!| A \diamondsuit_\beta B |\!|\!|\!| \le |\!|\!| A \natural_\beta B |\!|\!| \qquad \text{for all } \beta \in [-1,0).$$

Proof of Theorem 1

For the matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$, by the Araki-Cordes inequality $\|B^t A^t B^t\| \leq \|(BAB)^t\|$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, we have for $-1 \leq \beta \leq -\frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{split} \|A \natural_{\beta} B\| &= \left\| A^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\beta} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \\ &= \left\| A^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{-1} A^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-\beta} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| A^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{-1} A^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}} \right\|^{-\beta} \qquad \text{by } \frac{1}{2} \leq -\beta \leq 1 \\ &= \left\| A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2\beta}} B^{-1} A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2\beta}} \right\|^{-\beta} \\ &\leq \left\| A^{1-\beta} B^{2\beta} A^{1-\beta} \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq -\frac{1}{2\beta} \leq 1 \\ &= \left\| (A^{1-\beta} B^{2\beta} A^{1-\beta})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \end{split}$$

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Proof of Theorem 1

and so we have

$$\lambda_1(A \models_eta B) \leq \lambda_1((A^{1-eta}B^{2eta}A^{1-eta})^{rac{1}{2}}) = \lambda_1(|B^eta A^{1-eta}|).$$

This implies

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i (A \natural_\beta B) \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i (|B^\beta A^{1-\beta}|) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence we have the weak log majorization $A \natural_{\beta} B \prec_{w(\log)} |B^{\beta}A^{1-\beta}|$ and this implies

$$||A \natural_{\beta} B|| \leq ||| |B^{\beta} A^{1-\beta} ||| = ||| B^{\beta} A^{1-\beta} ||| = ||| A^{1-\beta} B^{\beta} |||$$

for every unitarily invariant norm.

Let A and B be positive definite matrices. Then

$$\|A \diamondsuit_{\beta} B\| \leq \|A \natural_{\beta} B\| \quad \text{for all } \beta \in [-1, 0).$$
$$\|A \natural_{\beta} B\| \leq \|A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta}\| \quad \text{for all } \beta \in [-1, -\frac{1}{2}].$$

-∢∃>

Let A and B be positive definite matrices. Then

$$\||A \diamondsuit_{\beta} B||| \le \||A \natural_{\beta} B||| \qquad ext{for all } \beta \in [-1,0).$$

$$||\!|A \natural_{\beta} B|\!|\!| \leq \left|\!|\!|A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta}\right|\!|\!| \qquad \text{for all } \beta \in [-1,-\tfrac{1}{2}].$$

Remark

In Theorem 1, the inequality $|||A \natural_{\beta} B||| \leq |||A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta}|||$ does not always hold for $-1/2 < \beta < 0$. In fact, if we put $\beta = -\frac{1}{3}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then we have the matrix norm $||A \natural_{-\frac{1}{3}} B|| = 3.385$ and $||A^{\frac{4}{3}}B^{-\frac{1}{3}}|| = 3.375$, and so $||A \natural_{\beta} B|| > ||A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta}||$.

3

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

Theorem 1

Let A and B be positive definite matrices. Then for every unitarily invariant norm

$$||\!|A \diamondsuit_{\beta} B|\!|| \le ||\!|A \natural_{\beta} B|\!|| \le \left|\!|\!|A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta}\right|\!|| \qquad \text{for all } \beta \in [-1, -\frac{1}{2}].$$

Theorem 1

Let A and B be positive definite matrices. Then for every unitarily invariant norm

$$\|A \diamondsuit_{eta} B\| \le \|A \natural_{eta} B\| \le \|A \natural_{eta} B\| \le \|A^{1-eta} B^{eta}\|$$
 for all $eta \in [-1, -rac{1}{2}].$

Conjecture

Let A and B be positive definite matrices. Then

$$w(A \diamondsuit_{eta} B) \leq w(A \natural_{eta} B) \leq w(A^{1-eta} B^{eta}) \qquad ext{for all } eta \in [-1, -rac{1}{2}].$$

counterexample

We would expect that the numerical radius inequality

$$w(A \natural_{\beta} B) \leq w(A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta})$$
 for all $\beta \in [-1, -\frac{1}{2}].$

However, the numerical radius $w(\cdot)$ is not unitarily invariant norm and unitarily similar. In fact, we have the following counterexample: We consider the case of $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}$. Put

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$.

counterexample

and we have

$$A \natural_{-\frac{1}{2}} B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{-1} A^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

Then v Hence

$$A^{\frac{3}{2}}B^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

we have $w(A^{\frac{3}{2}}B^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}) < w(A \natural_{-\frac{1}{2}} B) = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}$

$$w(A
arrow B) \leq w(A^{1-eta}B^eta) \qquad ext{for all } eta \in [-1, -rac{1}{2}]$$

does not always hold.

counterexample

For the case of $\beta = -1$

$$A \natural_{-1} B = AB^{-1}A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{9} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A^2B^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{9} & -\frac{4}{9} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $w(A^2B^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{5}{9} + \frac{\sqrt{41}}{9}\right) > \frac{5}{9} = w(A \natural_{-1} B).$
Hence
 $w(A \natural_{\beta} B) \le w(A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta}) \quad \text{ for } \beta = -1 \end{aligned}$

hold.

æ

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Norm inequality for geometric means of negative power

3 Numerical radius inequality for geometric means

- ∢ ∃ ▶

Numerical radius inequality for geometric means

$$w(A \diamondsuit_eta B) \leq w(A \natural_eta B) \qquad ext{for all } eta \in [-1,0)$$

and

$$w(A \diamondsuit_{eta} B) \leq w(A^{1-eta}B^{eta}) \qquad ext{for all } eta \in \mathbb{R}$$

We show the following numerical radius inequalities releted to the geometric means of negative power:

$$w(A \diamondsuit_{eta} B) \leq w(A arphi_{eta} B) \qquad ext{for all } eta \in [-1,0)$$

and

$$w(A \diamondsuit_{eta} B) \leq w(A^{1-eta}B^{eta}) \qquad ext{for all } eta \in \mathbb{R}$$

We show the following numerical radius inequalities releted to the geometric means of negative power:

Theorem 2

Let A and B be positive definite matrices. Then

$$w(A \natural_{\beta} B) \leq w(A^{2(1-\beta)q}B^{2\beta q})^{\frac{1}{2q}} \quad \text{for all } \beta \in [-1, -\frac{1}{2}] \text{ and } q \geq 1.$$

Numerical radius inequality for geometric means

Proof of Theorem 2

We recall the Araki-Cordes inequality for the matrix norm: If $A, B \ge 0$, then

$$\|B^p A^p B^p\| \le \|BAB\|^p$$
 for all $0 .$

For $\beta \in [-1, -\frac{1}{2}]$, we have $||A \natural_{\beta} B|| \le ||A^q \natural_{\beta} B^q||^{\frac{1}{q}}$ for all $q \ge 1$ and this implies

$$w(A \natural_{\beta} B) = ||A \natural_{\beta} B|| \le ||A^{q} \natural_{\beta} B^{q}||^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad \text{for all } q \ge 1$$
$$= \left||A^{\frac{q}{2}}(A^{-\frac{q}{2}}B^{q}A^{-\frac{q}{2}})^{\beta}A^{\frac{q}{2}}\right||^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
$$= \left||A^{\frac{q}{2}}(A^{\frac{q}{2}}B^{-q}A^{\frac{q}{2}})^{-\beta}A^{\frac{q}{2}}\right||^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
$$\le \left||A^{\frac{-(1-\beta)q}{2\beta}}B^{-q}A^{\frac{-(1-\beta)q}{2\beta}}\right||^{\frac{-\beta}{q}} \quad \text{for all } \frac{1}{2} \le -\beta \le 1$$

Yuki Seo (Osaka Kyoiku University)

Proof of Theorem 2

$$w(A \natural_{\beta} B) \leq \left\| A^{\frac{-(1-\beta)q}{2\beta}} B^{-q} A^{\frac{-(1-\beta)q}{2\beta}} \right\|^{\frac{-\beta}{q}} \text{ for all } \frac{1}{2} \leq -\beta \leq 1$$

$$\leq \left\| A^{(1-\beta)q} B^{2\beta q} A^{(1-\beta)q} \right\|^{\frac{1}{2q}} \text{ for all } \frac{1}{2} \leq -\frac{1}{2\beta} \leq 1$$

$$= r(A^{(1-\beta)q} B^{2\beta q} A^{(1-\beta)q})^{\frac{1}{2q}}$$

$$= r(A^{2(1-\beta)q} B^{2\beta q})^{\frac{1}{2q}}$$

$$\leq w(A^{2(1-\beta)q} B^{2\beta q})^{\frac{1}{2q}}$$

and so we have the desired inequality.

Corollary 3

Let A and B be positive definite matrices. Then

$$w(A \diamondsuit_{\beta} B) \leq w(A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}B^{\beta}A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}) \leq w(A \natural_{\beta} B) \leq w(A^{2(1-\beta)}B^{2\beta})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $\beta \in [-1, -\frac{1}{2}]$.

(Proof) If we put q = 1 in Theorem 2, then we have this corollary.

Finally, we consider the relation between $w(A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta})$ and $w(A^{2(1-\beta)}B^{2\beta})^{\frac{1}{2}}$. To show inequalities related to $w(A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta})$ for $\beta \in [-1,0)$, we need the following Cordes type inequality related to the numerical radius:

Lemma 4

Let A and B be positive definite matrices. Then

$$w(AB) \leq w(A^{rac{2}{p}}B^{rac{2}{p}})^{rac{p}{2}}$$
 for all $p \in (0,1]$

By Lemma 4, we have a series of the numerical radius inequalities related to the geometric means of negative power:

Theorem 5

Let A and B be positive definite matrices. Then

$$w(A\diamondsuit_{\beta} B) \leq w(A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}B^{\beta}A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}) \leq w(A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta}) \leq w(A^{2(1-\beta)}B^{2\beta})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof of Theorem 5

It follows that

$$w(A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}B^{\beta}A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}) = r(A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}B^{\beta}A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}) = r(A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta}) \le w(A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta})$$

and by Lemma 4

$$w(A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta}) \leq w(A^{2(1-\beta)}B^{2\beta})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Comparison between $w(A \natural_{\beta} B)$ and $w(A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta})$

Let A and B be positive definite matrices. Then

$$w(A \diamondsuit_{\beta} B) \leq w(A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}B^{\beta}A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}) \leq w(A \natural_{\beta} B) \leq w(A^{2(1-\beta)}B^{2\beta})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $\beta \in [-1, -\frac{1}{2}]$.

$$w(A \diamondsuit_{\beta} B) \leq w(A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}B^{\beta}A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}) \leq w(A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta}) \leq w(A^{2(1-\beta)}B^{2\beta})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Evaluation of $w(A \natural_{\beta} B)$ and $w(A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta})$

Let A and B be positive definite matrices with $0 < m \le A, B \le M$ for some scalars m < M. Put $h = \frac{M}{m}$. If $-1 \le \beta \le -\frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\mathcal{K}(h^2,-eta)\mathcal{K}(h,-2eta)^{-rac{1}{2}}w(A^{1-eta}B^eta)\leq w(Aeta_eta\ B)\leq rac{M+m}{2\sqrt{Mm}}w(A^{1-eta}B^eta)$$

where the generalized Kantorovich constant K(h, p) is defined by

$$K(h,p) = \frac{h^{p} - h}{(p-1)(h-1)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{h^{p} - 1}{h^{p} - h}\right)^{p}$$

for any real number $p \in \mathbb{R}$.

Evaluation of $w(A \natural_{\beta} B)$ and $w(A^{1-\beta}B^{\beta})$

Let A and B be positive definite matrices with $0 < m \le A, B \le M$ for some scalars m < M. Put $h = \frac{M}{m}$. If $-\frac{1}{2} \le \beta < 0$, then

$$\mathcal{K}(h^2,-eta) w(\mathcal{A}^{1-eta} \mathcal{B}^eta) \leq w(\mathcal{A} \
atural_eta \ \mathcal{B}) \leq \mathcal{K}(h,-eta)^{-1} w(\mathcal{A}^{1-eta} \mathcal{B}^eta)$$

where K(h, p) is the generalized Kantorovich constant.

- J.I. Fujii and Y. Seo, *Tsallis relative operator entropy with negative paramaeters*, Adv. Oper. Theory, **1** (2016), no.2, 219–236.
- [2] M. Fujii, J. Mićić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Recent Developments of Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities*, Monographs in Inequalities 4, Element, Zagreb, 2012.
- [3] M. Fujii and R. Nakamoto, *A geometric mean in the Furuta inequality*, Sci. Math. Japon., **55** (2002), 615–621.
- [4] J.I. Fujii and Y. Seo, The relative operator entropy and the Karcher mean, Linear Algebra Appl., 542 (2018), 4–34.

- [5] J.I. Fujii and Y. Seo, *Tsallis relative operator entropy with negative parameters*, Adv. Oper. Theory, **1** (2016), 219–236.
- [6] Y. Seo, Matrix trace inequalities on Tsallis relative entropy of negative order, to appear in Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications.
- [7] M. Kian and Y. Seo, Norm inequalities related to the matrix geometric mean of negative power, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae (in Editione Electronica) e-2018 Whole Number 31 2018-7.
- [8] R. Nakamoto and Y. Seo, A complement of the Ando-Hiai inequality and norm inequalities for the geometric mean, Nihonkai Math. J., 18(2007), 43–50.
- [9] Y. Seo, *Numerical radius inequalities related to the geometric means of negative power*, to appear in Operators and Matices.

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

A. N. Kolmogorov's word

Finally, we present the following Professor A. N. Kolmogorov's word. He said in a lecture that

Behind every theorem lies an inequality.

Thank you very much for your attention !!